arXiv:1509.07548v1 [math.CA] 24 Sep 2015

END-POINT ESTIMATES FOR SINGULAR INTEGRALS WITH NON-SMOOTH KERNELS ON PRODUCT SPACES

XUAN THINH DUONG, JI LI AND LIXIN YAN

Abstract. The main aim of this article is to establish boundedness of singular integrals with non-smooth kernels on product spaces. Let L_1 and L_2 be non-negative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, respectively, whose heat kernels satisfy Gaussian upper bounds. First, we obtain an atomic decomposition for functions in $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ where the Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ associated with L_1 and L_2 is defined by square function norms, then prove an interpolation property for this space. Next, we establish sufficient conditions for certain singular integral operators to be bounded on the Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ when the associated kernels of these singular integrals only satisfy regularity conditions significantly weaker than those of the standard Calderón–Zygmund kernels. As applications, we obtain endpoint estimates of the double Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators and a Marcinkiewicz-type spectral multiplier theorem for non-negative self-adjoint operators on product spaces.

Contents

1. Introduction and main results 1
2. Backgrounds on heat kernel bounds and spectral multipliers 5
2.1. Assumptions on heat kernel bounds 5
2.2. Finite propagation speed for the wave equation and spectral multipliers 5
3. Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and its atomic decomposition characterization 7
4. Boundedness of singular integrals on Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ 18
5. Applications: boundedness of double Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators and multivariable spectral multipliers 23
References 31

1. Introduction and main results

Modern harmonic analysis was introduced in the 50s with the Calderón–Zygmund theory at the heart of it. This theory established criteria for singular integral operators to be bounded on different scales of function spaces, especially the Lebesgue spaces L^p, $1 < p < \infty$. To achieve this goal, an integrated part of the Calderón–Zygmund theory includes the theory of interpolation and the theory of function spaces, in particular end-point spaces such as the Hardy and BMO spaces.

---

[1] 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 42B20, 42B25, 42B30.

[1] *Key words and phrase:* Singular integrals, Hardy spaces, product space, atomic decomposition, spectral multiplier theorem.

While the Calderón–Zygmund theory with one parameter was well established in the three decades of the 60s to 80s, multiparameter Fourier analysis was introduced later in the 70s and studied extensively in the 80s by a number of well known mathematicians, including R. Fefferman, S.-Y. A. Chang, R. Gundy, E. Stein, J.L. Journé (see for instance, [10], [11], [12], [23], [24], [25], [26], [29], [31], [38]). However, in contrast to the established one-parameter theory, the multiparameter theory is much more complicated and is less advanced, especially that there was not much progress in the last two decades on the topic of singular integrals with non-smooth kernels on product spaces.

The aim of this article is twofold: to obtain an atomic decomposition for the new Hardy spaces introduced recently in [18] and to establish end-point estimates for singular integrals with non-smooth kernels on product spaces.

Let us remind the reader that in the standard theory of singular integrals on product domains, R. Fefferman obtained the boundedness properties on Hardy spaces $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and on $L(\log^+ L)$ of singular integrals that generalize the double Hilbert transform on product domains ([23]) as follows. Suppose that T is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ with an associated kernel $K(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ in the sense that

$$Tf(x_1,x_2) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2) f(y_1,y_2)dy_1dy_2, \tag{1.1}$$

and the above formula holds for each continuous function f with compact support, and for almost all (x_1, x_2) not in the support of f. For each $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, set $\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)$ to be the integral operator acting on functions one variable whose kernel is given by

$$\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1)(x_2,y_2) = K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2). \tag{1.2}$$

Similarly, let

$$\widetilde{K}^{(2)}(x_2,y_2)(x_1,y_1) = K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2). \tag{1.3}$$

For an integral operator, s, acting on a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and given by

$$sf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(x,y)f(y)dy,$$

if

$$(*) \quad \int_{|x-y|>\gamma|y-y'|} |k(x,y)-k(x,y')|dx \le C\gamma^{-\delta}$$

for $\gamma \ge 2$ and some $\delta > 0$, then define $|s|_{CZ} = \|s\|_{L^2,L^2} + \inf\{C > 0|\ (*) \text{ holds}\}$. With these conventions, we can state a result of R. Fefferman ([23]):

Theorem A. *Let T be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ with an associated kernel $K(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$. Suppose that there exists some constant $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\gamma \ge 2$,*

$$\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma|y_1-y_1'|} \left|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1)-\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1')\right|_{CZ} dx_1 \le C\gamma^{-\delta} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\int_{|x_2-y_2|>\gamma|y_2-y_2'|} \left|\widetilde{K}^{(2)}(x_2,y_2)-\widetilde{K}^{(2)}(x_2,y_2')\right|_{CZ} dx_2 \le C\gamma^{-\delta}. \tag{1.5}$$

Then T extends to a bounded operator from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and also has the following weak type estimate on $L(\log^+ L)$:

$$\Big|\big\{|Tf(x)|>\alpha,(x_1,x_2)\in[0,1]^{n_1}\times[0,1]^{n_2}\big\}\Big|\leq\frac{C}{\alpha}\|f\|_{L(\log^+L)},\quad\forall\alpha>0$$

for all functions $f(x_1,x_2)$ whose supports are contained in the unit square.

It should be noted that unlike the one parameter case, the operator T does not satisfy the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate. That is, for every $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, endpoint estimate of

$$\Big|\big\{(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}:|Tf(x)|>\alpha\big\}\Big|\leq\frac{C}{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},\quad\forall\alpha>0$$

fails. From the point of view of interpolation theory, Theorem A shows that the Calderón–Zygmund theory on product domains shift the focus of the attention from the 'weak' L^1 theory to the 'strong' (H^1,L^1)-theory (see for examples, [10, 11, 12, 23, 24, 25, 26]).

In this article, we consider certain singular integrals on product spaces whose kernels are not smooth enough to fall under the scope of Theorem A. More specifically, we replace the smoothness conditions (1.4), (1.5) by the weaker conditions (1.6), (1.7), and we add condition (1.8). To overcome the difficulties created from the absence of (1.4) and (1.5), our strategy is to use suitable generalized families of approximations to the identity as in [19] and to develop atomic decomposition for suitable Hardy spaces associated with operators (see for example [21] and [18] for related Hardy spaces associated with operators). We then establish endpoint estimates of those singular integrals with non-smooth kernels on appropriate Hardy spaces $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, where $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ is a class of Hardy spaces associated with non-negative self-adjoint operators with Gaussian upper bounds on theirs heat kernels. See Section 3 for a detailed study of these Hardy spaces. We note that the need to study Hardy spaces associated with operators arises from the fact that singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels might not behave well on the standard Hardy spaces.

Our framework is as follows. Let T be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ with an associated kernel $K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$. Let $L_i, i=1,2$ be non-negative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$ and that the semigroup e^{-tL_i}, generated by $-L_i$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, has the kernel $p_t(x_i,y_i)$ which satisfies the following Gaussian upper bound

$$\text{(GE)}\qquad |p_t(x_i,y_i)|\leq\frac{C}{t^{n_i/2}}\exp\Big(-\frac{|x_i-y_i|^2}{c\,t}\Big),\quad t>0,\quad x_i,y_i\in\mathbb{R}^{n_i}.$$

Consider the composite operators $T\circ(e^{-t_1L_1}\otimes e^{-t_2L_2}), t_i\geq 0$, which have associated kernels $K_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$ in the sense of (1.1). For convenience, we write

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta K_{(t_1,\,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\\ &\quad=\ \big|K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)-K_{(t_1,\,0)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)-K_{(0,\,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)+K_{(t_1,\,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\big|.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1,y_1)(x_2,y_2)=K_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2),$$

$$\widetilde{K}^{(2)}_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_2,y_2)(x_1,y_1)=K_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2).$$

Instead of conditions (1.4) and (1.5), we assume the following: Suppose that the composite operators $T\circ(e^{-t_1L_1}\otimes e^{-t_2L_2}), t_i\geq 0, i=1,2$ have associated kernels $K_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$ in the sense of (1.1) and there exist some constants $\delta>0$ and $C>0$ such that for all $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\geq 2$,

$$\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1}\|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1)-\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1,y_1)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}),\,L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}))}dx_1\leq C\gamma_1^{-\delta}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\int_{|x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2 t_2}\|\widetilde{K}^{(2)}(x_2,y_2)-\widetilde{K}^{(2)}_{(0,t_2^2)}(x_2,y_2)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}),\,L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}))}dx_2\leq C\gamma_2^{-\delta}, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\int_{\substack{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1\\|x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2 t_2}}\left|\Delta K_{(t_1^2,t_2^2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\right|dx_1dx_2\leq C\gamma_1^{-\delta}\gamma_2^{-\delta}. \tag{1.8}$$

We note that in our conditions (1.6) and (1.7), the L^2 norm of the operators in the integrands were used in place of the CZ estimate (which is stronger than L^2 norm) in (1.4) and (1.5), meanwhile condition (1.8) plays a similar role to the required CZ estimate in [23]. It can be checked that conditions (1.6) and (1.7) are indeed weaker than conditions (1.4) and (1.5) (see [19]). Our main result on the boundedness of singular integrals is the following (Theorem 4.1 in Section 4).

Let T be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ which satisfies conditions (1.6), (1.7) *and* (1.8). *Then T extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, hence by interpolation, bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ for $1<p<2$.*

In Section 6, we shall exhibit a class of singular integrals which satisfy the conditions (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) but not the conditions (1.4) and (1.5). More specifically, we use Theorem 4.1 to obtain boundedness of

(i) the double Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators with non-negative potentials (Theorem 5.1); and

(ii) a variant of the Marcinkiewicz spectral multiplier theorem for non-negative self-adjoint operators on product spaces when the operators satisfy upper Gaussian heat kernel bounds (Theorem 5.2).

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic properties of heat kernels and finite propagation speed for the wave equation. In Section 3 we shall obtain an atomic decomposition of functions for $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ associated with non-negative self-adjoint operators with Gaussian upper bounds on the heat kernels. The atomic decomposition for elements in the Hardy spaces $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ (Theorem 3.4) is of independent interest and it also plays a key role in the proofs of the main result in Section 4, namely Theorem 4.1, which give endpoint estimates of boundedness of singular integrals on Hardy spaces on product domains. Finally, in Section 5, we apply our main results to deduce endpoint estimates of the double Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators with non-negative potentials and to obtain boundedness of a Marcinkiewicz-type spectral multiplier theorem for non-negative self-adjoint operators on product spaces.

Throughout, the letter "c" and "C" will denote (possibly different) constants that are independent of the essential variables.

2. Backgrounds on heat kernel bounds and spectral multipliers

This section contains the backgrounds on heat kernel bounds, finite propagation speed of solutions to the wave equations and spectral multipliers of non-negative self-adjoint operators.

2.1. Assumptions on heat kernel bounds.

Unless otherwise specified in the sequel we always assume that $L_i, i = 1, 2$ are non-negative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$ and that each of the semigroups e^{-tL_i}, generated by $-L_i$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, has the kernel $p_t(x_i, y_i)$ which satisfies the following Gaussian upper bound

$$|p_t(x_i, y_i)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{n_i/2}} \exp\Big(- \frac{|x_i - y_i|^2}{c\,t}\Big) \tag{GE}$$

for all $t > 0$, and $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, where C and c are positive constants.

Such estimates are typical for elliptic or sub-elliptic differential operators of second order (see for instance, [16] and [17]). As the semigroups e^{-tL_i} are holomorphic, the Gaussian upper bounds for $p_t(x_i, y_i)$ are further inherited by the time derivatives of $p_t(x_i, y_i)$. That is, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist two positive constants c_k and C_k such that

$$\Big|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} p_t(x_i, y_i)\Big| \leq \frac{C_k}{t^{n_i/2+k}} \exp\Big(- \frac{|x_i - y_i|^2}{c_k\, t}\Big) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $t > 0$, and $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$. For the proof of (2.1), see [16] and [40], Theorem 6.17.

In what follows, we denote

$$\mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+ = \Big\{(x, t) : \ x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, t = (t_1, t_2), t_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2\Big\}.$$

For any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, we set

$$(e^{-t_1L_1} \otimes e^{-t_2L_2})f(x_1, x_2) := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} p_{t_1}(x_1, y_1)p_{t_2}(x_2, y_2)f(y_1, y_2)dy_1dy_2,$$

where we wish to stress that if $t = 0$, then $e^{-tL_i} = \mathbb{1}_i, i = 1, 2$, the identity operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$.

In the absence of regularity on space variables of $p_t(x_i, y_i)$, estimate (2.1) plays an important role in our theory.

2.2. Finite propagation speed for the wave equation and spectral multipliers.

Let us recall that, if L is a non-negative, self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $E_L(\lambda)$ denotes its spectral decomposition, then for every bounded Borel function $F : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$, one defines the bounded operator $F(L) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the formula

$$F(L) = \int_0^\infty F(\lambda)\, dE_L(\lambda). \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, the operator $\cos(t\sqrt{L})$ is then well-defined and bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 3 of [15] that if the corresponding heat kernels $p_t(x, y)$ of e^{-tL} satisfy

Gaussian bounds (GE), then there exists a finite, positive constant c_0 with the property that the Schwartz kernel $K_{\cos(t\sqrt{L})}$ of $\cos(t\sqrt{L})$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{supp} K_{\cos(t\sqrt{L})} \subseteq \big\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n : |x-y|\leq c_0 t\big\}. \tag{2.3}$$

See also [43]. By the Fourier inversion formula, whenever F is an even, bounded, Borel function with its Fourier transform $\hat{F}\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we can write $F(\sqrt{L})$ in terms of $\cos(t\sqrt{L})$. More specifically, we have

$$F(\sqrt{L}) = (2\pi)^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{F}(t)\cos(t\sqrt{L})\,dt, \tag{2.4}$$

which, when combined with (2.3), gives

$$K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(x,y) = (2\pi)^{-1}\int_{|t|\geq c_0^{-1}|x-y|}\hat{F}(t)K_{\cos(t\sqrt{L})}(x,y)\,dt, \qquad \forall\, x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.5}$$

The following result (see Lemma 3.5, [34]) is useful for certain estimates later.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be even, supp $\varphi\subset(-c_0^{-1},c_0^{-1})$, where c_0 is the constant in (2.3). Let Φ denote the Fourier transform of φ. Then for every $\kappa=0,1,2,\dots,$ and for every $t>0$, the kernel $K_{(t^2L)^\kappa\Phi(t\sqrt{L})}(x,y)$ of the operator $(t^2L)^\kappa\Phi(t\sqrt{L})$ which was defined by the spectral theory, satisfies*

$$\mathrm{supp}\, K_{(t^2L)^\kappa\Phi(t\sqrt{L})}(x,y) \subseteq \Big\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n : |x-y|\leq t\Big\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Going further, we state the following version. (see Lemma 3.5, [34]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function with $\int\varphi=2\pi$, $\mathrm{supp}\,\varphi\subset(-1,1)$. For every $m=0,1,2,\dots,$ set $\Phi(\xi):=\hat{\varphi}(\xi)$, $\Phi^{(m)}(\xi):=\frac{d^m}{d\xi^m}\Phi(\xi)$. Let $\kappa,m\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa+m\in 2\mathbb{N}$. Then for any $t>0$, the kernel $K_{(t\sqrt{L})^\kappa\Phi^{(m)}(t\sqrt{L})}(x,y)$ of $(t\sqrt{L})^\kappa\Phi^{(m)}(t\sqrt{L})$ satisfies*

$$\mathrm{supp}\, K_{(t\sqrt{L})^\kappa\Phi^{(m)}(t\sqrt{L})} \subseteq \big\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n :\, |x-y|\leq t\big\} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\big|K_{(t\sqrt{L})^\kappa\Phi^{(m)}(t\sqrt{L})}(x,y)\big| \leq C\,t^{-n} \tag{2.8}$$

for any $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n$.

Finally, for $s>0$, we define

$$\mathbb{F}(s)=\Big\{\psi:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}\ \text{measurable}:\quad |\psi(z)|\leq C\frac{|z|^s}{(1+|z|^{2s})}\Big\}.$$

Then for any non-zero function $\psi\in\mathbb{F}(s)$, we have that $\{\int_0^\infty|\psi(t)|^2\frac{dt}{t}\}^{1/2}<\infty$. Denote by $\psi_t(z)=\psi(tz)$. It follows from the spectral theory in [47] that for any $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\{\int_0^\infty\|\psi(t\sqrt{L})f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\frac{dt}{t}\Big\}^{1/2} &= \Big\{\int_0^\infty\big\langle\,\overline{\psi}(t\sqrt{L})\,\psi(t\sqrt{L})f,f\big\rangle\frac{dt}{t}\Big\}^{1/2}\\ &= \Big\{\big\langle\int_0^\infty|\psi|^2(t\sqrt{L})\frac{dt}{t}f,f\big\rangle\Big\}^{1/2}\\ &\leq \kappa\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\kappa = C_L\big\{\int_0^\infty |\psi(t)|^2 dt/t\big\}^{1/2}$, an estimate which will be often used in the sequel.

3. HARDY SPACE $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ AND ITS ATOMIC DECOMPOSITION CHARACTERIZATION

We shall work exclusively with the domain $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+$ and its distinguished boundary, $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. If $x=(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, $\Gamma(x)$ will denote the product cone $\Gamma(x)=\Gamma(x_1)\times\Gamma(x_2)$ where $\Gamma(x_1)=\{(y_1,t_1)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+ : |x_1-y_1|<t_1\}$ and $\Gamma(x_2)=\{(y_2,t_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+ : |x_2-y_2|<t_2\}$. If $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+$, then $R_{x,t}$ will denote the rectangle centered at $x\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ whose side lengths are t_1 and t_2. For any open set $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, the tent over Ω, $T(\Omega)$, is the set

$$\Big\{(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+ :\ R_{x,t}\subseteq\Omega\Big\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose that $L_i, i=1,2$, are non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$ such that the corresponding heat kernels $p_{t_i}(x,y)$ satisfy Gaussian bounds (GE). Given a function f on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, the area integral function Sf associated with an operator L is defined by

$$Sf(x)=\bigg(\iint_{\Gamma(x)}\big|\big(t_1^2L_1e^{-t_1^2L_1}\otimes t_2^2L_2e^{-t_2^2L_2}\big)f(y)\big|^2\,\frac{dy\,dt}{t_1^{n_1+1}t_2^{n_2+1}}\bigg)^{1/2}. \tag{3.2}$$

It is known that for $1<p<\infty$, there exist constants C_1, C_2 (which depend on p) such that $0<C_1\le C_2<\infty$ and

$$C_1\|f\|_p\le\|Sf\|_p\le C_2\|f\|_p. \tag{3.3}$$

We adopt the following definition from [18].

Definition 3.1. For $i=1,2$, let each L_i be a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$ such that the corresponding heat kernels $p_{t_i}(x,y)$ satisfy Gaussian bounds (GE). The Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ associated to L_1 and L_2 is defined as the completion of

$$\{f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) : \|Sf\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}<\infty\}$$

with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}=\|Sf\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

Remarks.

(i) Note first that $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ is a normed linear space. By a standard argument of functional analysis ([47]) that $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ is a Banach space.

(ii) Let $L_i, i=1,2$ be the Laplacian $\triangle_{n_i}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$. It follows from area integral characterization of Hardy space by using convolution that the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ coincides with the spaces $H^1_{\triangle_{n_1},\triangle_{n_2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and their norms are equivalent. See [10, 11, 23].

3.1. Atomic decomposition for $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. Suppose $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ is open of finite measure. Denote by $m(\Omega)$ the maximal dyadic subrectangles of Ω. Let $m_1(\Omega)$ denote those dyadic subrectangles $R\subseteq\Omega, R=I\times J$ that are maximal in the x_1 direction. In other words if $S=I'\times J\supseteq R$ is a dyadic subrectangle of Ω, then $I=I'$. Define $m_2(\Omega)$ similarly. Let

$$\widetilde{\Omega}=\big\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}: M_s(\chi_\Omega)(x)>\frac{1}{2}\big\},$$

where M_s is the strong maximal operator defined as

$$M_s(f)(x)=\sup_{R:\ \text{ rectangles in } \mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2},\ x\in R}\frac{1}{|R|}\int_R|f(y)|dy.$$

For any $R=I\times J\in m_1(\Omega)$, we set $\gamma_1(R)=\gamma_1(R,\Omega)=\sup\frac{|l|}{|I|}$, where the supremum is taken over all dyadic intervals $l: I\subset l$ so that $l\times J\subset\widetilde{\Omega}$. Define γ_2 similarly. Then Journé's lemma, (in one of its forms) says, for any $\delta>0$,

$$\sum_{R\in m_2(\Omega)}|R|\gamma_1^{-\delta}(R)\leq c_\delta|\Omega| \quad\text{and}\quad \sum_{R\in m_1(\Omega)}|R|\gamma_2^{-\delta}(R)\leq c_\delta|\Omega|$$

for some c_δ depending only on δ, not on Ω.

We now introduce the notion of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$-atom associated to operators.

Definition 3.2. Let M be a positive integer. A function $a(x_1,x_2)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ is called a $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$-atom if it satisfies

1) supp $a\subset\Omega$, where Ω is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ with finite measure;

2) a can be further decomposed into

$$a=\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}a_R$$

where $m(\Omega)$ is the set of all maximal dyadic subrectangles of Ω, and there exists a series of function b_R belonging to the range of $L_1^{k_1}\otimes L_2^{k_2}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, for each $k_1,k_2=1,\cdots,M$, such that

(i) $a_R=\big(L_1^M\otimes L_2^M\big)b_R$;

(ii) supp $\big(L_1^{k_1}\otimes L_2^{k_2}\big)b_R\subset 10R$, $\quad k_1,k_2=0,1,\cdots,M$;

(iii) $||a||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\leq|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$\sum_{R=I_R\times J_R\in m(\Omega)}\ell(I_R)^{-4M}\ell(J_R)^{-4M}\Big\|\big(\ell(I_R)^2L_1\big)^{k_1}\otimes\big(\ell(J_R)^2L_2\big)^{k_2}b_R\Big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\leq|\Omega|^{-1}.$$

We are now able to define an atomic $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}$ space, which we shall eventually show that it is equivalent to the space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}$ via square functions.

Definition 3.3. Let $M>\max\{n_1,n_2\}/4$. The Hardy spaces $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ is defined as follows. We say that $f=\sum\lambda_ja_j$ is an atomic $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$-representation of f if $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty\in\ell^1$, each a_j is a $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$-atom, and the sum converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. Set

$$\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) = \big\{f : f \text{ has an atomic } (H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, M) - \text{representation}\big\},$$

with the norm given by

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} = \inf\Big\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_j| : f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j \text{ is an atomic } (H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, M)\text{−representation}\Big\}. \tag{3.4}$$

The space $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ is then defined as the completion of $\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ with respect to this norm.

We shall say see that any fixed choice of $M > \max\{n_1, n_2\}/4$, the Hardy spaces $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ yield the same space. Indeed, we shall show that the “square function” and “atom” H^1 spaces are equivalent, if the parameter $M > \max\{n_1, n_2\}/4$ in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that* $M > \max\{n_1, n_2\}/4$. *Then*

$$H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) = H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}).$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \approx \|f\|_{H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},$$

where the implicit constants depend only on M, n_1 *and* n_2.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.4.

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 3.4. The basic strategy is as follows: by density, it is enough to show that when $M > \max(\frac{n_1}{4}, \frac{n_2}{4})$,

$$\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) = H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$$

with equivalent of norms. The proof of this fact proceeds in two steps.

Step 1. $\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \subseteq H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, if $M > \max(\frac{n_1}{4}, \frac{n_2}{4})$.

Step 2. $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \subseteq \mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$.

We take these in order. The conclusion of Step 1 is an immediate consequence of the following pair of Lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. *Fix* $M \in \mathbb{N}$. *Assume that* T *is a linear operator, or a non-negative sublinear operator, satisfying the weak-type (2,2) bound*

$$\big|\ \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2} : |Tf(x)| > \eta\}\ \big| \le C_T\eta^{-2}\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \quad \forall\eta > 0,$$

and that for every $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, M)$*-atom* a, *we have*

$$\|Ta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \le C \tag{3.5}$$

with constant C *independent of* a. *Then* T *is bounded from* $\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ *to* $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, *and*

$$\|Tf\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \le C\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

Consequently, by density, T extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$.

Proof. Let $f\in\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, where $f=\sum\lambda_j a_j$ is an atomic $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$-representation such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\approx\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|.$$

Since the sum converges in L^2 (by definition), and since T is of weak type $(2,2)$, we have that at almost every point,

$$|T(f)|\leq\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|\,|T(a_j)|. \tag{3.6}$$

Indeed, for every $\eta>0$, we have that, if $f^N:=\sum_{j>N}\lambda_j a_j$, then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\,\{x:|Tf(x)|-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|\,|Ta_j(x)|>\eta\}\Big| &\leq \limsup_{N\to\infty}\big|\{x:|Tf^N(x)|>\eta\}\big|\\ &\leq C_T\,\eta^{-2}\limsup_{N\to\infty}\|f^N\|_2^2=0,\end{aligned}$$

from which (3.6) follows. In turn, (3.6) and (3.5) imply the desired L^1 bound for Tf. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let a be an $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$ atom with $M>\max(n_1/4,n_2/4)$. Let S denote the square function defined in (3.2). Then for every $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$-atom a, we have*

$$\|Sa\|_1\leq C, \tag{3.7}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of a.

Proof. Indeed, given Lemma 3.6, we may apply Lemma 3.5 with $T=S$ to obtain

$$\|f\|_{H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}=\|Sf\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\leq C\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},$$

which Step 1 follows.

To finish Step 1, it therefore suffices to verify estimate (3.7). To see this, we need to apply the Journé's covering lemma. For any $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$-atom a, suppose that $a=\sum\limits_{R\in m(\Omega)}a_R$ is supported in an open set Ω with finite measure. For any $R=I\times J\in m(\Omega)$, let $\widetilde{I}$ be the biggest dyadic cube containing I, so that $\widetilde{I}\times J\subset\widetilde{\Omega}$, where $\widetilde{\Omega}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}:M_s(\chi_\Omega)(x)>1/2\}$. Next, let $\widetilde{J}$ be the biggest dyadic cube containing J, so that $\widetilde{I}\times\widetilde{J}\subset\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}}$, where $\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}:\ M_s(\chi_{\widetilde{\Omega}})(x)>1/2\}$. Now let $\widetilde{R}$ be the 100-fold dilate of $\widetilde{I}\times\widetilde{J}$ concentric with $\widetilde{I}\times\widetilde{J}$. Clearly, an application of the strong maximal function theorem shows that $\big|\cup_{R\subset\Omega}\widetilde{R}\big|\leq C|\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}}|\leq C|\widetilde{\Omega}|\leq C|\Omega|$. From the property (iii) of the $(H^1_{L_1,L_2,},2,M)$-atom,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\cup\widetilde{R}}|S(a)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2 &\le |\cup\widetilde{R}|^{1/2}\|S(a)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\\ &\le C|\Omega|^{1/2}\|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\\ &\le C|\Omega|^{1/2}|\Omega|^{-1/2}\le C.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

We now prove

$$\int_{(\bigcup\widetilde{R})^c}|S(a)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2\le C.\tag{3.9}$$

From the definition of a, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(\bigcup\widetilde{R})^c}|S(a)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2 &\le \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{\widetilde{R}^c}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2\\ &\le \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{(100\widetilde{I})^c\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2\\ &\quad+\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times(100\widetilde{J})^c}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2\\ &= D+E.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

For the term D, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(100\widetilde{I})^c\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2 &= \int_{(100\widetilde{I})^c\times 100J}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2\\ &\quad+\int_{(100\widetilde{I})^c\times(100J)^c}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2\\ &= D_1+D_2.\end{aligned}$$

Let us first estimate the term D_1. Set $a_{R,2}=(1\!\!1_1\otimes L_2^M)b_R$, that is, $a_R=(L_1^M\otimes 1\!\!1_2)a_{R,2}$. Using Hölder's inequality,

$$D_1\le C|J|^{1/2}\int_{(100\widetilde{I})^c}\Big(\int_{100J}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|^2dx_2\Big)^{1/2}dx_1.\tag{3.11}$$

We will show that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $x_1\notin 100\widetilde{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{100J}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|^2dx_2\\ &\quad\le C\frac{|I|^{1/n_1+1}}{|x_1-x_I|^{2n_1+1}}\ell(I)^{-4M}\ell(J)^{-4M}\|(1\!\!1_1\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

where (x_I,x_J) denotes the center of $R=I\times J$.

Let us verify (3.12). From the definition of the S-function and the L^2-boundedness of the area function of the one-parameter,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{100J} |S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|^2 dx_2 \\
&\quad\le \int_{\Gamma_1(x_1)} \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_{\Gamma_2(x_2)} \big|t_2^2L_2e^{-t_2^2L_2}\big(t_1^2L_1e^{-t_1^2L_1}a_R(y_1,\cdot)\big)(y_2)\big|^2\frac{dy_2dt_2}{t_2^{n_2+1}}dx_2\Big]\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+1}} \\
&\quad\le C\int_{\Gamma_1(x_1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \big|t_1^2L_1e^{-t_1^2L_1}a_R(y_1,x_2)\big|^2dx_2\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+1}} \\
&\quad\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_{\Gamma_1(x_1)} \Big|(t_1^2L_1)^{M+1}e^{-t_1^2L_1}a_{R,2}(y_1,x_2)\Big|^2\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+1+4M}}dx_2, \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the equality $a_R = (L_1^M\otimes 1\!\!1_2)a_{R,2}$. Note that $\mathrm{supp}a_{R,2}\subset \mathrm{supp}(1\!\!1_1\otimes L_2^M)b_R\subset 10R = 10(I\times J)$. We then apply the time derivatives (2.1) of the kernel $p_t(x_i,y_i)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{RHS of (3.13)} \\
&\quad\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_0^{\ell(I)}\int_{|x_1-y_1|<t_1}\Big[\int_{10I} t_1^{-n_1}\exp\Big(-\frac{|y_1-z_1|^2}{ct_1^2}\Big)|a_{R,2}(z_1,x_2)|dz_1\Big]^2\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+1+4M}}dx_2 \\
&\qquad +C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\infty}\int_{|x_1-y_1|<t_1}\Big[\int_{10I} t_1^{-n_1}\exp\Big(-\frac{|y_1-z_1|^2}{ct_1^2}\Big)|a_{R,2}(z_1,x_2)|dz_1\Big]^2\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+1+4M}}dx_2 \\
&\quad =: D_1(a_R)(x_1)+D_2(a_R)(x_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us estimate the term $D_1(a_R)(x_1)$. Note that if $x_1\notin 100\widetilde{I}$, $0<t_1<\ell(I)$, $|x_1-y_1|<t_1$ and $z_1\in 10I$, then $|y_1-z_1|\ge |x_1-x_I|/2$. We use the fact that $e^{-s}\le Cs^{-k}$ for any $k>0$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_1(a_R)(x_1) &\le C\int_0^{\ell(I)}\int_{|x_1-y_1|<t_1}dy_1\ \ t_1^{-2n_1}\exp\Big(-\frac{2|x_1-x_I|^2}{ct_1^2}\Big)\frac{dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+1+4M}} \\
&\qquad\times\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\Big[\int_{10I}|a_{R,2}(z_1,x_2)|dz_1\Big]^2dx_2 \\
&\le C|I|\int_0^{\ell(I)} t_1^{-2n_1}\exp\Big(-\frac{2|x_1-x_I|^2}{ct_1^2}\Big)\frac{dt_1}{t_1^{1+4M}}\|a_{R,2}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\
&\le C|I|\int_0^{\ell(I)} t_1^{-2n_1-4M-1}\Big(\frac{t_1}{|x_1-x_I|}\Big)^{2(n_1+2M+\frac12)}dt_1\|a_{R,2}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\
&\le C|I|\frac{\ell(I)}{|x_1-x_I|^{2(n_1+2M+\frac12)}}\|(1\!\!1_1\otimes L_2^M)b_R\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\
&\le C\frac{|I|^{1/n_1+1}}{|x_1-x_I|^{2n_1+1}}\ell(I)^{-4M}\ell(J)^{-4M}\|(1\!\!1_1\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of the right order. In order to estimate the second term $D_2(a_R)$, observe that if $x_1\notin 100\widetilde{I}$, $\ell(I)\le t_1<|x_1-x_I|/4$, $|x_1-y_1|<t_1$ and $z_1\in 10I$, then $|y_1-z_1|\ge|x_1-x_I|/4$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_2(a_R)(x_1)\\
&\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\frac{|x_1-x_I|}{4}}\int_{|x_1-y_1|<t_1}\Big[\int_{10I} t_1^{-n_1}\exp\Big(-\frac{|y_1-z_1|^2}{ct_1^2}\Big)|a_{R,2}(z_1,x_2)|dz_1\Big]^2\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+1+4M}}dx_2\\
&\quad +C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_{\frac{|x_1-x_I|}{4}}^{\infty}\int_{|x_1-y_1|<t_1}\Big[\int_{10I} t_1^{-n_1}\exp\Big(-\frac{|y_1-z_1|^2}{ct_1^2}\Big)|a_{R,2}(z_1,x_2)|dz_1\Big]^2\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+1+4M}}dx_2\\
&\leq C|I|\bigg(\int_{\ell(I)}^{\infty} t_1^{-2n_1-1-4M}\Big(\frac{t_1}{|x_1-x_I|}\Big)^{2(n_1+2M-\frac{1}{2})}dt_1+\int_{\frac{|x_1-x_I|}{4}}^{\infty} t_1^{-2n_1-1-4M}dt_1\bigg)\|a_{R,2}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\\
&\leq C\frac{|I|^{1/n_1+1}}{|x_1-x_I|^{2n_1+1}}\ell(I)^{-4M}\ell(J)^{-4M}\|(\mathbb{1}_1\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates of $D_1(a_R)(x_1)$ and $D_2(a_R)(x_1)$, estimate (3.12) follows readily. Putting (3.12) into the term D_1 in (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &\leq C|R|^{1/2}\int_{(100\widetilde{I})^c}\frac{|I|^{1/2n_1}}{|x_1-x_I|^{n_1+1/2}}dx_1\ell(I)^{-2M}\ell(J)^{-2M}\|(\mathbb{1}_1\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\\
&\leq C|R|^{1/2}\gamma_1(R)^{-1/2}\ell(I)^{-2M}\ell(J)^{-2M}\|(\mathbb{1}_1\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to estimate the term D_2. Note that $a_R=(L_1^M\otimes L_2^M)b_R$ and supp $b_R\subset 10R=10(I\times J)$. One can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)\big)^2\\
&\quad=\int\limits_{\Gamma_1(x_1)}\int\limits_{\Gamma_2(x_2)}\Big|\big((t_1^2L_1)^{M+1}e^{-t_1^2L_1}\otimes(t_2^2L_2)^{M+1}e^{-t_2^2L_2}\big)b_R(y_1,y_2)\Big|^2\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+4M+1}}\frac{dy_2dt_2}{t_2^{n_2+4M+1}}\\
&\quad\leq C\bigg(\int_0^{\ell(I)}\int_0^{\ell(J)}+\int_0^{\ell(I)}\int_{\ell(J)}^{\infty}+\int_{\ell(I)}^{\infty}\int_0^{\ell(J)}+\int_{\ell(I)}^{\infty}\int_{\ell(J)}^{\infty}\bigg)\int_{|x_1-y_1|<t_1}\int_{|x_2-y_2|<t_2}\bigg[\int_{10I}\int_{10J}\\
&\qquad t_1^{-n_1}\exp\Big(-\frac{|y_1-z_1|^2}{ct_1^2}\Big)t_2^{-n_2}\exp\Big(-\frac{|y_2-z_2|^2}{ct_2^2}\Big)|b_R(z_1,z_2)|dz_1dz_2\bigg]^2\frac{dy_1dt_1}{t_1^{n_1+4M+1}}\frac{dy_2dt_2}{t_2^{n_2+4M+1}}\\
&\quad=:\sum_{i=1}^{4}D_{2i}(b_R)(x_1,x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

By using an argument as in $D_1(a_R)$ and $D_2(a_R)$ above, together with Hölder inequality and elementary integration, we can show that for every $i=1,2,3,4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{2i}(b_R)(x_1,x_2) &\leq C|R|\frac{|I|^{1/n_1}}{|x_1-x_I|^{2n_1+1}}\times\frac{|J|^{1/n_2}}{|x_2-x_J|^{2n_2+1}}\ell(I)^{-4M}\ell(J)^{-4M}\\
&\quad\times\big\|\big((\ell(I)^2L_1)^M\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M\big)b_R\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}D_2 &\leq \int_{(100\widetilde{I})^c\times(100J)^c}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2\\&\leq C|R|^{1/2}\gamma_1(R)^{-1/2}\ell(I)^{-2M}\ell(J)^{-2M}\|((\ell(I)^2L_1)^M\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.\end{aligned}$$

Estimates of D_1 and D_2, together with Hölder's inequality and Journé's covering lemma, shows that

$$\begin{aligned}D &\leq \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{(100\widetilde{I})^c\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}|S(a_R)(x_1,x_2)|dx_1dx_2\\&\leq \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}|R|^{1/2}\gamma_1(R)^{-1/2}\ell(I)^{-2M}\ell(J)^{-2M}\\&\qquad\times\Big(\|((\ell(I)^2L_1)^M\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}+\|(1\!\!1_1\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\Big)\\&\leq C\Big(\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}|R|\gamma_1(R)^{-1}\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\ell(I)^{-4M}\ell(J)^{-4M}\\&\qquad\times\Big(\|((\ell(I)^2L_1)^M\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}+\|(1\!\!1_1\otimes(\ell(J)^2L_2)^M)b_R\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\Big)\Big)^{1/2}\\&\leq C|\Omega|^{\frac12}|\Omega|^{-\frac12}\leq C.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that $E \leq C$, and then the desired estimate of (3.9) follows readily. This, together with (3.8), yields (3.7). This concludes Step 1. □

We now turn to Step 2. Our goal is to show that every $f \in H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ has a $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, M)$ atom representation, with appropriate quantitative control of the coefficients. To this end, we follow the standard tent space approach.

Let us recall some basic facts from [1, 30] on product domains. First, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the tent spaces on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ are defined by

$$T^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) := \Big\{F : \mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+\to\mathbb{C};\ \|F\|_{T^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} := \|\mathcal{A}(F)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} < \infty\Big\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}F(x) = \bigg(\iint_{\Gamma(x)}|F(y,t)|^2\frac{dydt}{t_1^{n_1+1}t_2^{n_2+1}}\bigg)^{1/2}.$$

The tent space $T^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ is defined as the space of functions F such that $\mathcal{A}(F) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ when $0 < p < \infty$. The resulting equivalences classes are then equipped with the norm, $\|F\|_{T^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} = \|\mathcal{A}(F)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}$.

It has been proved in [23] and [18] that every $F \in T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ has an atomic decomposition. It is easy to generalize to the case $T^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. For further reference, we record this result below.

Definition 3.7. A function $a(x,t)$ is called a $T^{1,2}$-atom, if there exists an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ of finite measure satisfying the following properties:

i) $a(x,t)$ can be further decomposed as $a = \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} a_R$, where each a_R is supported in $T(3R)$, and $R \subset \Omega$ (say, $R = I \times J$ in the sum) is a maximal dyadic subrectangle of Ω;

ii) $\|a\|_{L^2(dydt/(t_1t_2))} \le |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} \|a_R\|^2_{L^2(dydt/(t_1t_2))} \le |\Omega|^{-1}$.

It turns out, as in the one parameter case, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. *For every element $F \in T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, there exist a numerical sequence $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \in \ell^1$ and a sequence of $T^{1,2}$-atoms $\{A_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$F = \sum_j \lambda_j A_j \quad \text{in } T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \text{ and } a.e. \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+. \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \approx \|F\|_{T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},$$

where the implicit constants depend only on n_1 and n_2.

Finally, if $F \in T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \cap T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, then the decomposition (3.14) also converges in $T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$.

Proof. Except for the final part of the proposition, concerning $T^{2,2}$ convergence, the results are contained in pp. 841-842, [23], also Proposition 3.3 in [18]. And we refer the reader to those papers for the proof. To this end, from the definition of $T^{2,2}$, we have

$$\|F\|^2_{T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \mathcal{A}F^2 dx \le C \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} |F(y,t)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t_1t_2}. \tag{3.15}$$

Suppose now that $F \in T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \cap T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. We recall that in the constructive proof of the decomposition (3.14) in [18], one has that

$$\lambda_j A_j = F 1_{S_j},$$

where $\{S_j\}$ is a collection of the open sets which are pairwise disjoint (up to sets of measure zero), and whose union covers $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+1}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2+1}_+$. Thus, by (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum_{j>N} \lambda_j A_j\|^2_{T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} &\le C\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \Big|\sum_{j>N} 1_{S_j} F(y,t)\Big|^2 \frac{dydt}{t_1t_2} \\ &= \sum_{j>N} \iint_{S_j} \big|F(y,t)\big|^2 \frac{dydt}{t_1t_2} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $N \to \infty$, where we have used the disjointness of the sets S_j and dominated convergence. It follows that $F = \sum_j \lambda_j A_j$ in $T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. □

Now, given $M \ge 1$, we define an operator $\pi_{L_1,L_2,M}$, acting initially on $T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, as follows:

$$\pi_{L_1,L_2,M}(F)(x) = \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} \psi(t_1\sqrt{L_1})\psi(t_2\sqrt{L_2})(F(\cdot,t))(x)\frac{dt_1}{t_1}\frac{dt_2}{t_2}, \tag{3.16}$$

where $\psi(x) = x^{2M}\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ is the function mentioned in Lemma 2.1. In particular, $\pi_{L_1,L_2,1}$ will denote by π_{L_1,L_2}. By a standard duality argument involving well known quadratic estimates for $L_i, i = 1, 2$, one obtains that the improper integral converges weakly in L^2, and that for every $M \geq 0$,

$$\|\pi_{L_1,L_2,M}(F)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \leq C_M\|F\|_{T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}. \tag{3.17}$$

Following [34], we now observe that $\pi_{L_1,L_2,M}$ essentially maps $T^{1,2}$ atoms into $H^1_{L_1,L_2}$ atoms. We have

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that A is a $T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$-atom associated with an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ with finite measure (or more precisely, to its tent $T(\Omega)$). Then for every $M \geq 1$, there is a uniform constant C_M such that $C_M^{-1}\pi_{L_1,L_2,M}(A)$ is a $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, M)$-atom associated with Ω.*

Proof. Fix an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ with finite measure and let A be a $T^{1,2}$-atom satisfying (i) and (ii) in Definition 3.7, that is, $A(x,t)$ can be further decomposed as $A(x,t) = \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} A_R(x,t)$, where each A_R is supported in $T(3R)$, and $R \in m(\Omega)$ is a maximal dyadic subrectangle of Ω satisfying $\|A\|^2_{L^2(dxdt/(t_1t_2))} \leq |\Omega|^{-1}$ and $\sum\limits_{R\in m(\Omega)} \|A_R\|^2_{L^2(dxdt/(t_1t_2))} \leq |\Omega|^{-1}$.

Set

$$a = \pi_{L_1,L_2,M}(A) = \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} a_R$$

where $a_R := \big(L_1^M \otimes L_2^M\big)b_R$ and

$$b_R = \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty t_1^{2M}\varphi(t_1\sqrt{L_1})t_2^{2M}\varphi(t_2\sqrt{L_2})\big(A_R(\cdot,t)\big)\frac{dt_1}{t_1}\frac{dt_2}{t_2},$$

where φ is the function mentioned in Lemma 2.1. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that the integral kernel $K_{(t_i^2L_i)^k\Phi(t_i\sqrt{L_i})}(x,y)$ of the operator $(t_i^2L_i)^k\Phi(t_i\sqrt{L_i})$ satisfies $\operatorname{supp} K_{(t_i^2L_i)^k\Phi(t_i\sqrt{L_i})} \subset \big\{(x_i,y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_i} : |x_i - y_i| < t_i\big\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. This, together with the fact that $\operatorname{supp} A_R \subset T(3R)$, shows that for every $k_1, k_2 = 0, 1, \ldots, M$,

$$\operatorname{supp}\big(L_1^{k_1}\otimes L_2^{k_2}\big)b_R \subseteq 10R. \tag{3.18}$$

Next we estimate $\|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}$. Taking $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ such that $\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} = 1$, we then use the fact that $a = \pi_{L_1,L_2,M}(A)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \pi_{L_1,L_2,M}(A)(x)g(x)dx\Big| \\
&\quad= \Big|\lim_{\delta\to 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \Big(\int_\delta^{1/\delta}\int_\delta^{1/\delta} \psi(t_1\sqrt{L_1})\psi(t_2\sqrt{L_2})(A(\cdot,t))(x)\frac{dt}{t_1t_2}\Big)g(x)dx\Big| \\
&\quad\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \Big|A(x,t)\psi(t_1\sqrt{L_1})\psi(t_2\sqrt{L_2})\big(g\big)(x)\Big|\frac{dxdt}{t_1t_2} \\
&\quad\leq C\|A\|_{L^2(dxdt/(t_1t_2))}\Big(\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} |\psi(t_1\sqrt{L_1})\psi(t_2\sqrt{L_2})\big(g\big)(x)|^2\frac{dxdt}{t_1t_2}\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\quad\leq C|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \leq C|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and we have $\|a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \le C|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

The similar argument as above shows that for every $0 \le k_1, k_2 \le M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\Big\langle\Big((\ell(I_R)^2L_1)^{k_1}\otimes(\ell(J_R)^2L_2)^{k_2}\Big)b_R, g\Big\rangle\Big|\\
&= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_0^{3\ell(I_R)}\int_0^{3\ell(J_R)}\\
&\qquad\Big((\ell(I_R)^2L_1)^{k_1}\otimes(\ell(J_R)^2L_2)^{k_2}\Big)t_1^{2M}\Phi(t_1\sqrt{L_1})t_2^{2M}\Phi(t_2\sqrt{L_2})\big(A_R(\cdot,t)\big)(x)g(x)\frac{dxdt}{t_1t_2}\Big|\\
&\le \ell(I_R)^{2M}\ell(J_R)^{2M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty |A_R(x,t)|\big|(t_1^2L_1)^{k_1}\Phi(t_1\sqrt{L_1})(t_2^2L_2)^{k_2}\Phi(t_2\sqrt{L_2})\big(g\big)(x)\big|\frac{dxdt}{t_1t_2}\\
&\le C\ell(I_R)^{2M}\ell(J_R)^{2M}\|A_R\|_{L^2(dxdt/(t_1t_2))},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{R\subset\Omega}\ell(I_R)^{-4M}\ell(J_R)^{-4M}\|\big(\ell(I_R)^2L_1\big)^{k_1}\otimes\big(\ell(J_R)^2L_2\big)^{k_2}b_R\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\\
&\qquad\le C\sum_{R\subset\Omega}\|A_R\|^2_{L^2(dxdt/(t_1t_2))}\le C|\Omega|^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimates above, we can see that a is a $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, M)$-atom as in Definition 3.2 up to some constant depending only on M, ψ. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.9. □

We are now ready to establish the atomic decomposition of $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$.

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose $M \ge 1$. If $f \in H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, then there exist a family of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, M)$-atoms $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ and a sequence of numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \in \ell^1$ such that f can be represented in the form $f = \sum\lambda_j a_j$, with the sum converging in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and*

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\le C\sum_{j=0}^\infty|\lambda_j|\le C\|f\|_{H_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},$$

where C is independent of f. In particular,

$$H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \quad\subseteq\quad \mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}).$$

Proof. Let $f \in H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and set

$$F(\cdot,t) = (t_1^2L_1e^{-t_1^2L_1}\otimes t_2^2L_2e^{-t_2^2L_2})f.$$

We note that $F \in T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\cap T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ by the definition of $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and Lemma 3.9. Therefore, by Proposition 3.8,

$$F = \sum_{j=0}^\infty\lambda_jA_j,$$

where each A_j is a $T^{1,2}$-atom, the sum converges in both $T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and $T^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_j| \le C\|F\|_{T^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} = C\|f\|_{H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}. \tag{3.19}$$

From the spectral theory ([47]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \psi(t_1\sqrt{L_1})\psi(t_2\sqrt{L_2})(t_1^2e^{-t_1L_1}\otimes t_2^2e^{-t_2L_2})f(x)\frac{dt}{t_1t_2} \\ &= \pi_{L_1,L_2}(F)(x) = c_\psi\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\pi_{L_1,L_2}(A_j)(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where the last sum converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.9, for every $M\ge 1$, we have that up to multiplication by some harmless constant C_M, each $a_j = c_\psi\pi_{L_1,L_2}(A_j)$ is a $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$-atom. Consequently, the last sum in (3.20) is an atomic representation, so that $f\in\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and by (3.19) we have

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,M}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \le C\|f\|_{H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.4 □

4. BOUNDEDNESS OF SINGULAR INTEGRALS ON HARDY SPACE $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ AND LEBESGUE SPACES $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$

Let T be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ with an associated kernel $K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$ in the sense that

$$Tf(x_1,x_2) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)f(y_1,y_2)dy_1dy_2, \tag{4.1}$$

and the above formula holds for each continuous function f with compact support, and for almost all (x_1,x_2) not in the support of f. We use the same definitions for $\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1)(x_2,y_2)$, $\widetilde{K}^{(2)}(x_2,y_2)(x_1,y_1)$, $T\circ(e^{-t_1L_1}\otimes e^{-t_2L_2})$, $\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1,y_1)(x_2,y_2)$, $\widetilde{K}^{(2)}_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_2,y_2)(x_1,y_1)$ and $\Delta K_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$ as in the Introduction.

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ with an associated kernel $K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$. Suppose that the composite operators $T\circ(e^{-t_1L_1}\otimes e^{-t_2L_2}), t_i\ge 0, i=1,2$ have associated kernels $K_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$ in the sense of (1.1) and there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $C>0$ such that for all $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\ge 2$,*

$$\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1t_1}\|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1)-\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1,y_1)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}),\,L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}))}dx_1 \le C\gamma_1^{-\delta}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\int_{|x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2 t_2} \|\widetilde{K}^{(2)}(x_2,y_2) - \widetilde{K}^{(2)}_{(0,\,t_2^2)}(x_2,y_2)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}),\,L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}))} dx_2 \le C\gamma_2^{-\delta}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_{\substack{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1\\ |x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2 t_2}} \left|\Delta K_{(t_1^2,t_2^2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\right| dx_1 dx_2 \le C\gamma_1^{-\delta}\gamma_2^{-\delta}. \tag{4.4}$$

Then T extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. Hence, T can be extended from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ for all $1<p\le 2$.

Remark: Conditions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 are the same as conditions 1.6, 1.7 and 1.8 in the Introduction, respectively. In the above Theorem 4.1, we repeat these conditions only for clarity.

Proof. To prove Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show that T is uniformly bounded on each $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$ atom a with $M>\max(n_1/4,n_2/4)$, and there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of a such that

$$\|T(a)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \le C. \tag{4.5}$$

From the definition of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$ atom, it follows that a is supported in some $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ and a can be further decomposed into $a=\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} a_R$. For any $R=I\times J\subset\Omega$, let l be the biggest dyadic cube containing I, so that $l\times J\subset\widetilde{\Omega}$, where $\widetilde{\Omega}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}:\ M_s(\chi_\Omega)(x)>1/2\}$. Next, let Q be the biggest dyadic cube containing J, so that $l\times Q\subset\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}}$, where $\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}:\ M_s(\chi_{\widetilde{\Omega}})(x)>1/2\}$. Now let $\widetilde{R}$ be the 100-fold dilate of $l\times Q$ concentric with $l\times Q$. Clearly, an application of the strong maximal function theorem shows that $\big|\bigcup_{R\subset\Omega}\widetilde{R}\big|\le C|\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}}|\le C|\widetilde{\Omega}|\le C|\Omega|$. From (iii) in the definition of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$ atom, we can obtain that

$$\int_{\cup\widetilde{R}} |T(a)(x)|dx \ \le\ \big|\cup\widetilde{R}\big|^{1/2}\|T(a)\|_{L^2} \le C|\Omega|^{1/2}\|a\|_{L^2} \le C|\Omega|^{1/2}|\Omega|^{-1/2}\le C.$$

Therefore, the proof of (4.5) reduces to show that

$$\int_{\left(\cup\widetilde{R}\right)^c} |T(a)(x)|dx \le C. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $a=\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} a_R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left(\cup\widetilde{R}\right)^c} |T(a)(x)|dx &\le \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} \int_{\widetilde{R}^c} |T(a_R)(x)|dx \\
&\le \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} \int_{(100l)^c\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} |T(a_R)(x)|dx + \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times(100S)^c} |T(a_R)(x)|dx \\
&= D+E.
\end{aligned}$$

For term D, we observe that

$$\int_{(100l)^c\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}} |T(a_R)(x)|dx \;=\; \Big(\int_{(100l)^c\times 100J} + \int_{(100l)^c\times(100J)^c}\Big)|T(a_R)(x)|dx = D_1 + D_2.$$

Let us first estimate the term D_1. In what follows, we let $t_1 = \ell(I)$, $t_2 = \ell(J)$ and denote by $a_{R,1} = (L_1 \otimes 1\!\!1_2)b_R$ and $a_{R,2} = (1\!\!1_1 \otimes L_2)b_R$. It follows that

$$a_R = (L_1 \otimes L_2)b_R = (1\!\!1_1 \otimes L_2)a_{R,1} = (L_1 \otimes 1\!\!1_2)a_{R,2}. \tag{4.7}$$

For every $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, we have the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1) &= \Big(t_1^{-2}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1} sds\Big)\cdot g(x_1)\\ &= t_1^{-2}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1} s(1\!\!1_1 - e^{-s^2L_1})g(x_1)ds + t_1^{-2}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1} se^{-s^2L_1}g(x_1)ds\\ &= t_1^{-2}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1} s(1\!\!1_1 - e^{-s^2L_1})g(x_1)ds + 2t_1^{-2}(1\!\!1_1 - e^{-2t_1^2L_1})L_1^{-1}g(x_1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

This, in combination with the fact that $(L_1^{-1} \otimes 1\!\!1_2)a_R = a_{R,2}$, gives the term D_1 in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &\le t_1^{-2}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1}\iint_{(100l)^c\times 100J} s\big|T\circ\big((1\!\!1_1 - e^{-s^2L_1})\otimes 1\!\!1_2\big)(a_R)(x_1,x_2)\big|dx_1dx_2ds\\ &\quad + Ct_1^{-2}\iint_{(100l)^c\times 100J}\big|T\circ\big((1\!\!1_1 - e^{-2t_1^2L_1})\otimes 1\!\!1_2\big)(a_{R,2})(x_1,x_2)\big|dx_1dx_2\\ &= D_{11} + D_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix y_1 so that $a_R(y_1,\cdot)$ is supported on $10J$. Hence one may apply Hölder's inequality to the term D_{11} to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_{11} &\le t_1^{-2}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1}\iint_{(100l)^c\times 10I} s\,\big\|\big\{\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1) - \widetilde{K}_{(s^2,0)}(x_1,y_1)\big\}a_R(y_1,\cdot)\big\|_{L^1(|x_2|\sim 100|J|;dx_2)}dy_1dx_1ds\\ &\le Ct_1^{-2}|J|^{1/2}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1}\iint_{(100l)^c\times 10I} s\,\|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1) - \widetilde{K}_{(s^2,0)}(x_1,y_1)\|_{(L^2,L^2)}\\ &\quad\times\|a_R(y_1,\cdot)\|_{L^2(dy_2)}\,dy_1dx_1ds. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $|x_1 - y_1| \ge 50\ell(l) \ge 50\frac{\ell(l)}{\ell(I)}\cdot\ell(I) = 50\frac{\ell(l)}{\ell(I)}\cdot t_1 \ge \frac{50}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\ell(l)}{\ell(I)}\cdot s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{11} &\le C\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}t_1^{-2}|J|^{1/2}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1} sds\int_{10I}\|a_R(y_1,\cdot)\|_{L^2(dy_2)}\,dy_1\\ &\le C\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}|J|^{1/2}|I|^{1/2}\Big(\int_{10I}\|a_R(y_1,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(dy_2)}\,dy_1\Big)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}|R|^{1/2}\|a_R\|_{L^2}.$$

The similar argument as above shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{12} &\leq C\ell(I)^{-2}|J|^{1/2}\int\limits_{(100l)^c}\int\limits_{10I}\|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1,y_1)-\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{(2t_1^2,\,0)}(x_1,y_1)\|_{(L^2\to L^2)} \\
&\qquad\times\|(a_{R,2})(y_1,\cdot)\|_{L^2(dy_2)}\;dy_1dx_1 \\
&\leq C\ell(I)^{-2}|J|^{1/2}\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}\int_{3I}\|a_{R,2}(y_1,\cdot)\|_{L^2(dy_2)}dy_1 \\
&\leq C\ell(I)^{-2}|R|^{1/2}\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}\|a_{R,2}\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From estimates of D_{11} and D_{12}, we use the property of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$ atoms and Journé's covering lemma to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{(100l)^c\times 100J}|T(a_R)(x)|dx \\
&\leq C\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}|R|^{1/2}\Big(\|a_R\|_2+\ell(I)^{-2}\|a_{R,2}\|_{L^2}\Big) \\
&\leq C\Big(\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\gamma_1(R)^{-2\delta}|R|\Big)^{1/2}\Big\{\Big(\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\|a_R\|_2^2\Big)^{1/2}+\Big(\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\ell(I)^{-4}\|a_{R,2}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big\} \\
&\leq C|\Omega|^{-1/2}|\Omega|^{1/2}\leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the term D_2. We use an argument in (4.8) and the fact that $a_R=(L_1\otimes L_2)b_R=(\mathbb{1}_1\otimes L_2)a_{R,1}=(L_1\otimes\mathbb{1}_2)a_{R,2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2 &= \int\limits_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1}\int\limits_0^{\sqrt{2}t_2}\iint\limits_{(100l)^c\times(100J)^c}s_1s_2\Big|T\circ\Big((\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-s_1^2L})\otimes(\mathbb{1}_2-e^{-s_2^2L})\Big)(a_R)(x_1,x_2)\Big|dx_1dx_2\frac{ds_1ds_2}{t_1^2t_2^2} \\
&+ 2t_2^{-2}\int\limits_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1}\iint\limits_{(100l)^c\times(100J)^c}s_1\Big|T\circ\Big((\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-s_1^2L})\otimes(\mathbb{1}_2-e^{-2t_1^2L})\Big)(a_{R,2})(x_1,x_2)\Big|dx_1dx_2\frac{ds_1}{t_1^2} \\
&+ 2t_1^{-2}\int\limits_0^{\sqrt{2}t_2}\iint\limits_{(100l)^c\times(100J)^c}s_2\Big|T\circ\Big((\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-2t_1^2L})\otimes(\mathbb{1}_2-e^{-s_2^2L})\Big)(a_{R,1})(x_1,x_2)\Big|dx_1dx_2\frac{ds_2}{t_2^2} \\
&+ 4(t_1t_2)^{-2}\iint\limits_{(100l)^c\times(100J)^c}\Big|T\circ\Big((\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-2t_1^2L})\otimes(\mathbb{1}_2-e^{-2t_2^2L})\Big)(b_R)(x_1,x_2)\Big|dx_1dx_2 \\
&= D_{21}+D_{22}+D_{23}+D_{24}.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term D_{21}, we use the use condition (4.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{21} &= \int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_2}\iint_{(100l)^c\times(100J)^c} s_1s_2\Big|\int_{3R}\Delta K_{(s_1^2,s_2^2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)a_R(y_1,y_2)dy_1dy_2\Big|dx_1dx_2\ \frac{ds_1ds_2}{t_1^2t_2^2}\\
&\le \int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_2}\int_{3R}\Big(\int_{\substack{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1t_1\\|x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2t_2}}\big|\Delta K_{(t_1^2,t_2^2)}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\big|dx_1dx_2\Big)\big|a_R(y_1,y_2)\big|dy_1dy_2\frac{ds_1ds_2}{t_1^2t_2^2}\\
&\le C\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}\ \gamma_2(R)^{-\delta}\big\|a_R\big\|_{L^1(3R)}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_1}\int_0^{\sqrt{2}t_2}s_1s_2\frac{ds_1ds_2}{t_1^2t_2^2}\\
&\le C\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}|R|^{1/2}\|a_R\|_{L^2} \qquad \text{(since } \gamma_2(R)\ge 1\text{)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The similar argument as above shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{22}+D_{23}+D_{24} &\le C\gamma_1(R)^{-\delta}|R|^{1/2}\\
&\quad\times\Big(\ell(J)^{-2}\|a_{R,1}\|_{L^2}+\ell(I)^{-2}\|a_{R,2}\|_{L^2}+\ell(I)^{-2}\ell(J)^{-2}\|b_R\|_{L^2}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

From the estimate of terms D_{21}, D_{22}, D_{23} and D_{24}, the Hölder inequality, Journé's covering lemma and the properties of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,M)$ atoms, we have

$$\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{(100l)^c\times(100J)^c}|T(a_R)(x)|dx\le C|\Omega|^{-1/2}|\Omega|^{1/2}\le C. \tag{4.9}$$

Now, think of replacing Ω by $\widetilde{\Omega}$. For each $R=I\times J\in m(\Omega)$ there corresponds a new rectangle $R'=l\times J\in m_1(\widetilde{\Omega})$. Since Q is the longest dyadic interval containing J so that $l\times Q\subseteq\widetilde{\Omega}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times(100Q)^c}|T(a_R)(x)|dx\le c|R|^{1/2}\gamma_2^{-\delta}(R',\widetilde{\Omega})\|a_R\|_{L^2(dydt/(t_1t_2))}. \tag{4.10}$$

The claim is that $\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}|R|\gamma_2^{-2\delta}(R',\widetilde{\Omega})\le C|\Omega|$. This is so because if R_1 and R_2 are in $m(\Omega)$ with $R_1'=R_2'$ then $R_1\cap R_2=\emptyset$ or $R_1=R_2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}|R|\gamma_2^{-2\delta}(R',\widetilde{\Omega}) &\le \sum_{\overline{R}\in m_1(\widetilde{\Omega})}\Big(\sum_{\overline{R}=R'}|R|\Big)\gamma_2^{-2\delta}(\overline{R},\widetilde{\Omega})\\
&\le \sum_{\overline{R}\in m_1(\widetilde{\Omega})}|\overline{R}|\gamma_2^{-2\delta}(\overline{R},\widetilde{\Omega})\\
&\le C|\widetilde{\Omega}|\le C|\Omega|.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate (4.6) is obtained and then we obtain the proof of Theorem 4.1 for $f\in H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. It then follows from a standard argument that for any $f\in H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times$

$\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$), f has an atomic decomposition (2.3). See, for example, Chapter III of [46]. This completes the proof Theorem 4.1.

□

5. Applications: boundedness of double Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators and multivariable spectral multipliers

In this section we shall deduce endpoint estimates of a class of examples of singular integrals with non-smooth kernels including the double Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators and the Marcinkiewicz-type multipliers for non-negative self-adjoint operators on product spaces.

5.1. The double Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators For every $i = 1, 2$, we let $V_i \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$ be a nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$. The Schrödinger operator with potential V_i is defined by

$$L_i = -\triangle_{n_i} + V_i(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{n_i}, \quad n_i \geq 1. \tag{5.1}$$

The operator L_i is a self-adjoint positive definite operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$. From the Feynman–Kac formula, it is well-known that the kernel $p_t(x_i, y_i)$ of the semigroup e^{-tL_i} satisfies the estimate

$$0 \leq p_t(x_i, y_i) \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n_i/2}} e^{-\frac{|x_i - y_i|^2}{4t}}. \tag{5.2}$$

However, unless V_i satisfies additional conditions, the heat kernel can be a discontinuous function of the space variables and the Hölder continuity estimates may fail to hold. See, for example, [16].

Consider the double Riesz transform $T := \nabla L_1^{-1/2} \otimes \nabla L_2^{-1/2}$ associated to the operators L_i. An alternative definition is

$$Tf(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(\nabla_{x_1} e^{-t_1 L_1} \otimes \nabla_{x_2} e^{-t_2 L_2} \right) f(x_1, x_2) \frac{dt_1 dt_2}{\sqrt{t_1 t_2}}. \tag{5.3}$$

It was proved in [43] that for every $i = 1, 2$, the Riesz transform $\nabla L_i^{-1/2}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$ for $1 < p \leq 2$. See also [14, 20, 40]. Hence, by using iteration argument, the double Riesz transform T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ for $1 < p \leq 2$.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $L_i = -\triangle_{n_i} + V_i, i = 1, 2,$ where $V_i \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$ is a non-negative function on $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$. Then the double Riesz transform $\nabla L_1^{-1/2} \otimes \nabla L_2^{-1/2}$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1, L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$.*

Proof. This theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1 by verifying conditions (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4).

Let us verify condition (4.2). Following notation in Theorem 4.1, we assume that $K(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ is an associated kernel of the double Riesz transform $T = \nabla L_1^{-1/2} \otimes \nabla L_2^{-1/2}$ in the sense of (1.1). From the definition, we can write in the form:

$$K(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = K_1(x_1, y_1) \cdot K_2(x_2, y_2), \tag{5.4}$$

where $K_i(x_i, y_i)$ is an associated kernel of the Riesz transform $\nabla L_i^{-1/2}, i = 1, 2$. Consider the composite operators $T \circ (e^{-t_1 L_1} \otimes e^{-t_2 L_2}), t_i \geq 0$, which have associated kernels $K_{(t_1,t_2)}(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ in the sense of (1.1). Let $k_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1, y_1)$ be an associated kernel of the composite operator $\nabla L_1^{-1/2}\big(I - e^{-t_1^2 L_1}\big)$. Then,

$$K(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) - K_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = k_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1, y_1) \cdot K_2(x_2, y_2). \tag{5.5}$$

The proof is done if we show that

$$\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} \big|k_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1, y_1)\big| dx_1 \leq C\gamma_1^{-\delta}, \quad \forall \gamma_1 \geq 2 \tag{5.6}$$

for some constants $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$, and then using the fact that the Riesz transform $\nabla L_2^{-1/2}$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} \|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}(x_1, y_1) - \widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{(t_1^2,\,0)}(x_1, y_1)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}),\, L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}))} dx_1 \\
&\quad \leq \; \|\nabla L_2^{-1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} \big|k_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1, y_1)\big| dx_1 \\
&\quad \leq \; C\gamma_1^{-\delta},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves condition (4.2).

Let us prove estimate (5.6). Let $\widetilde{p}_t(x_1, y_1)$ denote the kernels of the semigroup $t\frac{d}{dt}e^{-tL_1}$. The Riesz transform associated to L_1 is given by

$$\nabla L_1^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \nabla e^{-sL_1} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla L_1^{-1/2}\big(I - e^{-t_1^2 L_1}\big) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \nabla e^{-sL_1}\big(I - e^{-t_1^2 L_1}\big) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_s^{s+t_1^2} \nabla\Big(u \frac{d}{du} e^{-uL_1}\Big) \frac{du}{u} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}},
\end{aligned}$$

and then the kernel $k_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1, y_1)$ of the composite operator $\nabla L_1^{-1/2}\big(I - e^{-t_1^2 L_1}\big)$ can be written in the form:

$$k_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1, y_1) \; = \; -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_s^{s+t_1^2} \nabla \widetilde{p}_u(x_1, y_1) \frac{du}{u} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

Note that by Proposition 3.1 of [20],

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \widetilde{p}_u(x, y)|^2 e^{\beta \frac{|x-y|^2}{u}} dx \leq C u^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{5.7}$$

for some constants $\beta > 0$ and $C > 0$. Let $M > \frac{n}{2}$. There exists a positive constant C depending only on n, β such that

$$\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} e^{-\beta\frac{|x_1-y_1|^2}{u}}dx_1 \le C(\gamma_1 t_1)^{n-2M}u^M. \tag{5.8}$$

Using estimates (5.7), (5.8) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} \big|k_{(t_1^2,0)}(x_1,y_1)\big|dx_1 \\
&\le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty\int_s^{s+t_1^2}\Big(\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1}\big|\nabla\widetilde{p}_{t_1}(x_1,y_1)\big|^2 e^{\beta\frac{|x_1-y_1|^2}{u}}dx_1\Big)^{\frac12} \\
&\qquad\qquad\times\Big(\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} e^{-\beta\frac{|x_1-y_1|^2}{u}}dx_1\Big)^{\frac12}\frac{du}{u}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} \\
&\le C(\gamma_1 t_1)^{\frac{n-2M}{2}}\int_0^\infty\int_s^{s+t_1^2} u^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac12+\frac{M}{2}}\frac{du}{u}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we divide the last term into

$$C(\gamma_1 t_1)^{\frac{n-2M}{2}}\Big(\int_0^{t_1^2}+\int_{t_1^2}^\infty\Big)\int_s^{s+t_1^2} u^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac12+\frac{M}{2}}\frac{du}{u}\frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

Let $n/2+1 < M < n/2+2$. By using elementary integration, we show that both of the above terms are bounded by $C\gamma_1^{(n-2M)/2}$. This proves estimate (5.6), and then the proof of condition (4.2) is complete.

The similar argument as above shows condition (4.3). For condition (4.4), it is very easy to obtain by an iteration argument, and we skip it here. Hence, the proof of Theorem 5.1 is finished.

□

5.2. General multivariable spectral multipliers Suppose that L_1 and L_2 are non-negative self-adjoint operators such that the corresponding heat kernels satisfy Gaussian bounds (GE). Let us explain the definition of multivariable spectral multipliers. We consider two self-adjoint operators $L_i, i = 1, 2$, acting on spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$. The tensor product operators $L_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2$ and $\mathbb{1}_1 \otimes L_2$ act on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, where $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ is the Cartesian product of $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ with the product measure. To simplify notation we will write L_1 and L_2 instead of $L_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2$ and $\mathbb{1}_1 \otimes L_2$. Note that there is a unique spectral decomposition E such that for all Borel subsets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $E(A)$ is a projection on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and such that for any Borel subsets $A_j \subset \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2$, one has

$$E(A_1 \otimes A_2) = E_{L_1}(A_1) \otimes E_{L_2}(A_2).$$

Hence for any function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ one can define the operators $F(L_1, L_2)$ acting as operator on space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ by the formula

$$F(L_1, L_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)dE(\lambda_1, \lambda_2). \tag{5.9}$$

A straightforward variation of classical spectral theory argument shows that for any bounded Borel function $F:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{C}$ the operator $F(L_1,L_2)$ is continuous on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and its norm is bounded by $\|F\|_{L^\infty}$. Assume that the operator $F(L_1,L_2)$ has an associated kernel $K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$ in the sense that

$$F(L_1,L_2)f(x_1,x_2)=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}K(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)f(y_1,y_2)dy_1dy_2, \tag{5.10}$$

and the above formula holds for each continuous function f with compact support, and for almost all (x_1,x_2) not in the support of f.

In this section we are looking for necessary smoothness conditions on function F so that the operators $F(L_1,L_2)$ is bounded on Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and on $L\log^+L$. The condition on function F which we use is a variant of the differentiability condition in Fourier multiplier result, see [33]. We shall be working with an auxiliary nontrivial function ω of compact support. The choice of ω that will be in the statements is not unique. Let ω be a $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ function such that

$$\operatorname{supp}\omega\subseteq(\frac{1}{4},1)\quad\text{and}\quad\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega(2^{-\ell}\lambda)=1\quad\text{for all}\ \ \lambda>0. \tag{5.11}$$

Set

$$\eta_1(\lambda_1)=\omega(\lambda_1),\quad \eta_2(\lambda_2)=\omega(\lambda_2),\quad \eta_{1,2}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=\omega(\lambda_1)\omega(\lambda_2).$$

We define a family of dilations $\{\delta_{t_1,t_2}\}$ acting on functions $F:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{C}$ by the formula

$$\delta_{(t_1,t_2)}F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=F(t_1\lambda_1,t_2\lambda_2). \tag{5.12}$$

Consider the following multiparameter Sobolev norm on functions F defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}=\{(x,y)\}$

$$\|F\|_{W^2_{s_1,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}=\|(I+\Delta_x)^{s_1/2}(I+\Delta_y)^{s_2/2}F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})},$$

where Δ_x and Δ_y are the standard Laplace operators on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, respectively. Now we formula our main spectral multiplier result.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that L_1 and L_2 are non-negative self-adjoint operators such that the corresponding heat kernels satisfy Gaussian bounds* (GE). *Let $s_1>\frac{n_1+1}{2}$, $s_2>\frac{n_2+1}{2}$. Then for any bounded Borel function F such that*

$$\begin{aligned}C_{F,\phi,s}&=\sup_{t_1>0}\|\eta_1\delta_{(t_1,1)}F\|_{W^2_{s_1,s_2}}+\sup_{t_2>0}\|\eta_2\delta_{(1,t_2)}F\|_{W^2_{s_1,s_2}}\\&\qquad+\sup_{t_1,t_2>0}\|\eta_{1,2}\delta_{(t_1,t_2)}F\|_{W^2_{s_1,s_2}}<\infty,\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

the operator $F(L_1,L_2)$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$.

Remarks.

1) We assume that L_1 and L_2 are positive so $F(L_1,L_2)$ depends only on the restriction of F to $[0,\infty)^2$. However, it is easier to state Theorem 5.2 if one considers functions $F:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{C}$.

2) Let $k=\left[\frac{n_1}{2}\right]+1$, $\ell=\left[\frac{n_2}{2}\right]+1$, where $[a]$ is the integer part of $[a]$. It is not difficult to check that if $F\in C^k(\mathbb{R})\times C^\ell(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\big|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta F(\xi,\eta)\big| \le C|\xi|^{-\alpha}|\eta|^{-\beta}$$

where $0 \le \alpha \le k$ and $0 \le \beta \le \ell$, then F satisfies condition (5.13), see [33], [17] and [40].

The proof of Theorem 5.2 will be achieved in several steps. First, we note that for every $t_1 > 0$, the composite operator $F(L_1, L_2)(I - e^{-t_1^2 L_1})$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and assume that it has an associated kernel $K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ in the sense of (5.10). Similarly, assume that in the sense of (5.10), the composite operator $F(L_1, L_2)(I - e^{-t_2^2 L_2})$ has an associated kernel $K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_2^2L_2})}(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$, and the composite operator $F(L_1, L_2)(I - e^{-t_1^2 L_1})(I - e^{-t_2^2 L_2})$ has an associated kernel $K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})(I-e^{-t_2^2L_2})}(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$. Following (4.2), we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1,y_1)(x_2,y_2) &= K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\\
\widetilde{K}^{(2)}_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_2^2L_2})}(x_2,y_2)(x_1,y_1) &= K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_2^2L_2})}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We now state the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied. Then we have*

(i) Let $R_1 > 0, s_1 > 0$. Then for any $s_2 > 1/2$, there exist constants $C = C(s_1, s_2, \epsilon)$ and $\eta > 0$ such that for all $\gamma_1 \ge 2$ and $t_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} \big\|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1,y_1)\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\big(1+R_1|x_1-y_1|\big)^{s_1}dx_1\\
&\qquad\le\ C\gamma_1^{-\eta}R_1^{n_1}\min\big\{\big(t_1R_1\big)^{-\eta},(t_1R_1)^2\big\}\,\|\delta_{(R_1,1)}F\|^2_{W^\infty_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

for all Borel functions F such that supp $F \subseteq [0, R_1^2] \times [0, \infty)$.

(ii) Let $R_2 > 0, s_2 > 0$. Then for any $s_1 > 1/2$, there exist constants $C = C(s_1, s_2, \epsilon)$ and $\eta > 0$ such that for all $\gamma_2 \ge 2$ and $t_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2 t_2} \big\|\widetilde{K}^{(2)}_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_2^2L_2})}(x_2,y_2)\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})}\big(1+R_2|x_2-y_2|\big)^{s_2}dx_2\\
&\qquad\le\ C\gamma_2^{-\eta}R_2^{n_2}\min\big\{\big(t_2R_2\big)^{-\eta},(t_2R_2)^2\big\}\,\|\delta_{(1,R_2)}F\|^2_{W^\infty_{\frac{s_1}{2},\frac{s_2+1+\epsilon}{2}}}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

for all Borel functions F such that supp $F \subseteq [0, \infty) \times [0, R_2^2]$.

(iii) Let $R_i > 0, s_i > 0, i = 1, 2$. Then there exist constants $C = C(s_1, s_2, \epsilon)$ and $\eta > 0$ such that for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \ge 2$ and $t_1, t_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\substack{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1t_1\\|x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2t_2}} \big|K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})(I-e^{-t_2^2L_2})}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\big|^2\prod_{i=1}^{2}\big(1+R_i|x_i-y_i|\big)^{s_i}dx_1dx_2\\
&\le C\gamma_1^{-\eta}\gamma_2^{-\eta}R_1^{n_1}R_2^{n_2}\min\big\{\big(t_1R_1\big)^{-\eta},(t_1R_1)^2\big\}\min\big\{\big(t_2R_2\big)^{-\eta},(t_2R_2)^2\big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\|^2_{W^\infty_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2+1+\epsilon}{2}}} \tag{5.16}$$

for all Borel functions F such that supp $F\subseteq[0,R_1^2]\times[0,R_2^2]$.

Proof. Let us first prove (5.14). Set $\Phi_{(t_1^2,0)}(\lambda_1)=(1-e^{-t_1^2\lambda_1})$ and

$$G(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=e^{\lambda_1}\delta_{(R_1^2,1)}\big[F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\Phi_{(t_1^2,0)}(\lambda_1)\big].$$

If $\widehat{G}^{(1)}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $G(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ in the variable λ_1, then by the Fourier inversion formula,

$$\begin{aligned} F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1}) &= G(L_1/R_1^2,L_2)e^{-L_1/R_1^2}\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{(i\xi_1-1)L_1/R_1^2}\widehat{G}^{(1)}(\xi_1,L_2)d\xi_1. \end{aligned}\tag{5.17}$$

Then the kernel $K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)$ satisfies

$$K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}p_{(1-i\xi_1)R_1^{-2}}(x_1,y_1)K_{\widehat{G}^{(1)}(\xi_1,L_2)}(x_2,y_2)d\xi_1. \tag{5.18}$$

Notice that by Lemma 4.2 in [17], we have

$$\int_{|x_1-y_1|>r}\big|p_{(1-i\xi_1)R_1^{-2}}(x_1,y_1)\big|^2dx_1\leq R_1^{n_1}\exp\Big(-c\Big[\frac{rR_1}{(1+|\xi_1|)}\Big]^2\Big),$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1t_1}\big|p_{(1-i\xi_1)R_1^{-2}}(x_1,y_1)\big|^2|x_1-y_1|^{s_1}dx_1\\ &\leq \sum_{k\geq0:\,k\geq\frac{\gamma_1t_1R_1}{(1+|\xi_1|)}-1}\int_{k\frac{(1+|\xi_1|)}{R_1}\leq|x_1-y_1|\leq(k+1)\frac{(1+|\xi_1|)}{R_1}}\big|p_{(1-i\xi_1)R_1^{-2}}(x_1,y_1)\big|^2|x_1-y_1|^{s_1}dx_1\\ &\leq (1+|\xi_1|)^{s_2}R_1^{-s_1}\sum_{k\geq0:\,k\geq\frac{\gamma_1t_1R_1}{(1+|\xi_1|)}-1}(k+1)^{s_1}\int_{|x_1-y_1|\geq k\frac{(1+|\xi_1|)}{R_1}}\big|p_{(1-i\xi_1)R_1^{-2}}(x_1,y_1)\big|^2dx_1\\ &\leq (1+|\xi_1|)^{s_1}R_1^{-s_1}\sum_{k\geq0:\,k\geq\frac{\gamma_1t_1R_1}{(1+|\xi_1|)}-1}(k+1)^{s_1}R_1^{n_1}e^{-ck^2}\\ &\leq C\Big(\frac{\gamma_1t_1R_1}{1+|\xi_1|}\Big)^{-\eta}(1+|\xi_1|)^{s_1}R_1^{n_1}R_1^{-s_1} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\eta>0$. This, in combination with (5.17) and (5.18), gives

$$\begin{aligned} A: &= \Big[\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1t_1}\big\|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1,y_1)\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}(1+R_1|x_1-y_1|)^{s_1}dx_1\Big]^{1/2}\\ &\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}}\big\|\widehat{G}^{(1)}(\xi_1,L_2)\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\times \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times\Big(\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1}\big|p_{(1-i\xi)R_1^{-2}}(x_1,y_1)\big|^2(1+R_1|x_1-y_1|)^{s_1}dx_1\Big)^{1/2}d\xi_1 \qquad (5.19)\\
&\le\ CR_1^{n_1/2}\Big(\gamma_1t_1R_1\Big)^{-\eta/2}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\big\|\widehat{G}^{(1)}(\xi_1,L_2)\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}\big(1+|\xi_1|^2\big)^{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2}}d\xi_1\Big]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $W^2_s(\mathbb{R})\subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ whenever $s>1/2$. By the embedding theorem of Sobolev spaces, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big\|\widehat{G}^{(1)}(\xi_1,L_2)\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})} &\le C\big\|\widehat{G}^{(1)}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\big\|_{L^\infty(\xi_2)}\\
&\le C\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\big|\widehat{G}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\big|^2(1+|\xi_2|^2)^{s_2/2}d\xi_2\Big]^{1/2}. \qquad (5.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting (5.20) into (5.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A &\le CR_1^{n_1/2}\Big(\gamma_1t_1R_1\Big)^{-\eta/2}\Big[\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\big|\widehat{G}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\big|^2\big(1+|\xi_1|^2\big)^{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2}}\big(1+|\xi_2|^2\big)^{\frac{s_2}{2}}d\xi_1d\xi_2\Big]^{1/2}\\
&\le CR_1^{n_1/2}\Big(\gamma_1t_1R_1\Big)^{-\eta/2}\|G\|_{W^2_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}. \qquad (5.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

However, supp $F\subseteq[0,R_1^2]\times[0,\infty)$ and supp $\delta_{(R_1^2,1)}F\subseteq[0,1]\times[0,\infty)$ so if k is an integer greater than $\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|G\|_{W^2_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}} &\le \|\delta_{(R_1^2,1)}\big[F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\Phi_{(t_1^2,0)}(\lambda_1)\big]\|_{W^2_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}\\
&\le C\|\delta_{(R_1^2,1)}F\|_{W^2_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}\times\|\delta_{(R_1^2,1)}(1-e^{-t_1^2\cdot})\|_{C^k([0,1])}\\
&\le C\frac{(R_1t_1)^2}{1+(R_1t_1)^2}\|\delta_{(R_1^2,1)}F\|_{W^2_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A &\le CR_1^{n_1/2}\Big(\gamma_1t_1R_1\Big)^{-\eta/2}\frac{(R_1t_1)^2}{1+(R_1t_1)^2}\|\delta_{(R_1^2,1)}F\|_{W^2_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}\\
&\le CR_1^{n_1/2}\gamma_1^{-\eta/2}\min\big\{\big(t_1R_1\big)^{-\eta/2},t_1R_1\big\}\|\delta_{(R_1^2,1)}F\|_{W^2_{\frac{s_1+1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (5.14).

The proof of (5.15) is similar to that of (5.14). For the proof of (5.16), we can obtain it by making minor modifications with Lemma 3.5 of [17], and so we skip it. □

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Let $F:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{C}$ be a bounded Borel function such that condition (5.13) holds. To prove Theorem 6.1, it suffices to verify the assumptions of Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 for $T=F(L_1,L_2)$, i.e., there exists some constants $\delta>0$ and $C>0$ such that for all $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\ge 2$,

$$
\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1t_1}\|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1,y_1)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}dx_1\le C\gamma_1^{-\delta}, \qquad (5.22)
$$

$$\int_{|x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2 t_2} \|\widetilde{K}^{(2)}_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_2^2L_2})}(x_2,y_2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})}dx_2 \le C\gamma_2^{-\delta}, \tag{5.23}$$

and

$$\int_{\substack{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1\\ |x_2-y_2|>\gamma_2 t_2}} \left|K_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})(I-e^{-t_2^2L_2})}(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\right|dx_1dx_2 \le C\gamma_1^{-\delta}\gamma_2^{-\delta}. \tag{5.24}$$

Let us prove (5.22). We write $F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) - F(0,0) + F(0,0)$. Replacing F by $F - F(0,0)$, we may assume in the sequel that $F(0,0) = 0$. Then we choose a function ω in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ supported in $[1/4,1]$ such that $\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega(2^\ell\lambda) = 1,\ \forall\lambda\in\mathbb{R}_+$. Then we have for all $\lambda_1,\lambda_2 \ge 0$,

$$F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty}\omega(2^{-\ell}\lambda_1)F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) =: \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty}\omega_{(\ell,1)}F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2).$$

Let $\Phi_{(t_1,0)}(\lambda_1) = (1-e^{-(t_1\lambda_1)^2})$. Then we have

$$F(L_1,L_2)(1-e^{-t_1^2L_1}) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty}\omega_{(\ell,1)}F(1-\Phi_{(t_1,0)})(L_1,L_2).$$

By Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} \|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{\omega_{(\ell,1)}F(1-\Phi_{(t_1,0)})(L_1,L_2)}(x_1,y_1)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}dx_1\\
&\quad\le \left(\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} \|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{\omega_{(\ell,1)}F(1-\Phi_{(t_1,0)})(L_1,L_2)}(x_1,y_1)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}(1+2^\ell|x_1-y_1|)^{2s_1}dx_1\right)^{1/2}\\
&\qquad\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}}(1+2^\ell|x_1-y_1|)^{-2s_1}dx_1\right)^{1/2}\\
&\quad\le C\gamma_1^{-\eta/2}\min\left\{\left(2^\ell t_1\right)^{-\eta/2}, 2^\ell t_1\right\}\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}[\omega_{(\ell,1)}F]\|_{W^2_{s_1+\frac{1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x_1-y_1|>\gamma_1 t_1} \|\widetilde{K}^{(1)}_{F(L_1,L_2)(I-e^{-t_1^2L_1})}(x_1,y_1)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}dx_1\\
&\quad\le\ C\gamma_1^{-\eta/2}\sum_\ell\min\left\{\left(2^\ell t_1\right)^{-\eta/2}, 2^\ell t_1\right\}\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}[\omega_{(\ell,1)}F]\|_{W^2_{s_1+\frac{1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}\\
&\quad\le\ C\gamma_1^{-\eta/2}\sup_\ell\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}[\omega_{(\ell,1)}F]\|_{W^2_{s_1+\frac{1+\epsilon}{2},\frac{s_2}{2}}}
\end{aligned}$$

as required to prove estimate (5.22).

From Proposition 5.3, the similar argument as above shows (5.23) and (5.24), and so we skip it. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2. □

Acknowledgments. X.T. Duong was supported by Australia Research Council (ARC). L.X. Yan was supported by Australia Research Council (ARC) and NNSF of China (Grant No. 10925106), and Grant for Senior Scholars from the Association of Colleges and Universities of Guangdong.

References

[1] J. Alvarez and M. Milman, Spaces of Carleson measures: duality and interpolation, *Arkiv für Mat.* **25** (1987), 155-174.

[2] P. Auscher, X.T. Duong, and A. McIntosh, Boundedness of Banach space valued singular integral operators and Hardy spaces. Unpublished preprint (2005).

[3] P. Auscher, A. M^{c}Intosh and E. Russ, Hardy spaces of differential forms on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **18** (2008), 192-248.

[4] A. Auscher and P. Tchamitchian, Square root problem for divergence operators and related topics, *Astérisque,* **249**, (1998).

[5] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, Weak type inequalities for H^p and BMO, *Proc. Sym. in Pure Math.,* **35** (I) (1979), 201-229.

[6] J. Berth and J. Löfström, Interpolation spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[7] M. Bownik, B.D. Li, D.C. Yang and Y. Zhou, Weighted anisotropic product Hardy spaces and boundedness of sublinear operators, *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010), no. 3, 392–442.

[8] L. Carleson, A counterexample for measures bounded on H^p for the bi-disc. Mittag Leffler Report No. 7, (1974)

[9] D.C. Chang, D.C. Yang and Y. Zhou, Boundedness of sublinear operators on product Hardy spaces and its application, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **62** (2010), no. 1, 321–353.

[10] S-Y. A. Chang and R. Fefferman, A continuous version of the duality of H^1 with BMO on the bi-disc, *Ann. Math.* **112** (1980), 179-201.

[11] S-Y. A. Chang and R. Fefferman, The Calderón–Zygmund decomposition on product domains, *Amer. J. Math.* **104** (1982), 445-468.

[12] S-Y. A. Chang and R. Fefferman, Some recent developments in Fourier analysis and H^p-theory on product domains, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (985), 1-43.

[13] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, and E.M. Stein, Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis, *J. Funct. Analysis.* **62** (1985), 304-335.

[14] T. Coulhon and X.T. Duong, Riesz transforms for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **351** (1999), 1151-1169.

[15] T. Coulhon and A. Sikora, Gaussian heat kernel upper bounds via Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem, *Proc. Lond. Math.,* **96** (2008), 507-544.

[16] E.B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.

[17] X.T. Duong, E.M. Ouhabaz and A. Sikora, Plancherel-type estimates and sharp spectral multipliers. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **196** (2002), 443-485.

[18] D.G. Deng, L. Song, C.Q. Tan and L.X. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds on product domains, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **17** (2007), 455-483.

[19] X.T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains, *Rev. Mat. Iber.* **15** (1999), 233-265.

[20] X.T. Duong, E.L. Ouhabaz and L.X. Yan, Endpoint estimates for Riesz transforms of magnetic Schrödinger operators, *Ark. Mat.*, **44** (2006), 261-275

[21] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, New function spaces of BMO type, John-Nirenberg inequality, interpolation and applications, *Communication on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **58** (2005), 1375-1420.

[22] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), 943-973.

[23] R. Fefferman, Calderón–Zygmund theory for product domains: H^p spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA.* **83** (1986), 840-843.

[24] R. Fefferman, Multiparameter Fourier analysis. *Beijing Lecture in Harmonic Analysis*, edited by E.M. Stein. 47-130, Princeton Univ. Press, (1986).

[25] R. Fefferman, Harmonic analysis on product spaces, *Ann. Math.,* **126** (1987), 109-130.

[26] R. Fefferman, Multiparameter Calderón–Zygmund theory. *Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations*, edited by M. Christ, C. Kenig and C. Sadosky, 207-221, The University of Chicago Press, (1995).

[27] S. H. Ferguson and M. T. Lacey, A characterization of product BMO by commutators, *Acta Math.* **189** (2002), 143-160.

[28] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137-195.

[29] R. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, Singular integrals on product spaces, *Adv. Math.* **45** (1982), 117-143.

[30] M. Gomez and M. Milman, Complex interpolation of H^p spaces on product domains, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appli.* **155**, 103-115 (1989).

[31] R. Gundy and E.M. Stein, H^p theory for the poly-disc, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **76** (1972), 1026-1029.

[32] R.M. Gong and L.X. Yan, Weighted L^p estimates for the area integral associated to self-adjoint operators (2011), available at arXiv:1109.1662.

[33] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), 93-140.

[34] S. Hofmann, G.Z. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and L.X. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **214** (2011), no. 1007.

[35] S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda, Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators, *Math. Ann.*, **344** (2009), 37-116.

[36] S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda and A. McIntosh, Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in L^p, Sobolev and Hardy spaces, to appear in *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (2011).

[37] J.L. Journé, A covering lemma for product spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **96** (1986), 593-598.

[38] J. Journé, Calderón–Zygmund operators on product spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **1** (1985), 55-91.

[39] D. Müller, F. Ricci, and E.M. Stein, Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups, I, *Invent. Math.* **119** (1995), 199-233.

[40] E.M. Ouhabaz, Analysis of heat equations on domains, *London Math. Soc. Monographs*, Vol. **31**, Princeton Univ. Press (2004).

[41] J. Pipher, Journé's covering lemma and its extension to higher dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 683-690.

[42] A. Sikora, On-diagonal estimates on Schrödinger semigroup kernels and reduced heat kernels, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **188** (1997), 233-249.

[43] A. Sikora, Riesz transform, Gaussian bounds and the method of wave equation, *Math. Z.*, **247** (2004), 643-662.

[44] L. Song and C.Q. Tan, L^p boundedness of area function associated with operators on product spaces, *Anal. Theory Appl.* **22** (2006), 208-222.

[45] L. Song, C.Q. Tan and L.X. Yan, An atomic decomposition for Hardy spaces associated to Schrödinger operator, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **91** (2011), 125-144.

[46] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: Real variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1993).

[47] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis* (Fifth edition), Spring-Verlag, Berlin, (1978).

Xuan Thinh Duong, Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
E-mail address: `xuan.duong@mq.edu.au`

Ji Li, Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
E-mail address: `ji.li@mq.edu.au`

Lixin Yan, Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen (Zhongshan) University, Guangzhou, 510275, P.R. China
E-mail address: `mcsylx@mail.sysu.edu.cn`